

EAST HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL
PENNS PLACE
PETERSFIELD
HAMPSHIRE
GU31 4EX



Telephone: 01730 266551
Website: www.easthants.gov.uk

STANDARDS COMMITTEE AGENDA

Date: Thursday 10 October 2019
Time: 6.30 pm
Venue: Council Chamber, Penns Place, Petersfield, GU31 4EX

Membership: Councillor M Johnson (Chairman)
Councillors G Boxall, P Davies, D Evans, M Gass and B Moulard
Reserves: Councillor S Burns

The business to be transacted is set out below:

David Brown
Monitoring Officer

Date of Publication: 2 October 2019

Contact Officer: Cynthia Haveron 01730 234092
Email: cynthia.haveron@easthants.gov.uk

1 Apologies for Absence

To receive and record apologies for absence.

2 Chairman's Announcements

3 Declarations of Interest

To receive and record any declarations of interest from members present in respect of any of the various matters on the agenda for this meeting.

4 Summary of Complaints

Review of 2019 complaints to date and noting any resolutions on ongoing issues.

A copy of the complaint spreadsheet will be sent under separate cover.

For Information

5 Report on the Standards in Public Life

1 - 4

For Decision

6 Report on the Standards Investigation Process & Code of Conduct

5 - 8

The Committee is asked to consider the potential revision to the process of considering and investigating complaints.

The paper also seeks agreement to review the Code of Conduct within the constitutional review with policy input from this committee.

For Decision

7 Future Items

For Decision

GENERAL INFORMATION

IF YOU WOULD LIKE A VERSION OF THIS AGENDA, OR ANY OF ITS REPORTS, IN LARGE PRINT, BRAILLE, AUDIO OR IN ANOTHER LANGUAGE PLEASE CONTACT DEMOCRATIC SERVICES ON 01730 234073.

Internet

This agenda and its accompanying reports can also be found on the East Hampshire District Council website: www.easthants.gov.uk

Public Attendance and Participation

Members of the public are welcome to attend and observe the meetings. Many of the Council's meetings allow the public to make deputations on matters included in the agenda. Rules govern this procedure and for further information please get in touch with the contact officer for this agenda.

Disabled Access

All meeting venues have full access and facilities for the disabled.

Emergency Procedure

Please ensure that you are familiar with the location of all emergency exits which are clearly marked. In the unlikely event of an emergency an alarm will sound.

PLEASE EVACUATE THE BUILDING IMMEDIATELY.

DO NOT RE-ENTER THE BUILDING UNTIL AUTHORISED TO DO SO.

No Smoking Policy

All meeting venues operate a no smoking policy on all premises and ground

NON-EXEMPT

EAST HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Standards Committee

10 October 2019

Report on the Standards in Public Life
David Brown, Head of Legal Services

FOR DECISION

Portfolio: Governance and Legal – Cllr N Drew

Key Decision: No

1.0 Purpose of the paper

- 1.1 This paper is submitted to the Committee to consider the need for a working group to review the '*Local Government Ethical Standards. A Review by the Committee on Standards in Public Life*' This report of 110 pages was published on the 30 January 2019 and contains 26 recommendations many of which are relevant to the functioning of this Council.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/777315/6.4896_CO_CSPL_Command_Paper_on_Local_Government_Standards_v4_WEB.PDF

2.0 Recommendations

- 2.1 To form a working group to review the report and making recommendations to the Standards Committee on what, if any, recommendations should be referred by this committee to full council.

3.0 Executive summary

- 3.1 This council has already adopted some of the recommendations. For example: recommendation 25 on training even if not formally adopted by groups has broad political support. However, other recommendations may be worthy of consideration and the revision of the committee's terms of reference and the constitutional review are opportune moments to incorporate any changes.
- 3.2 The length and breath of the report does not lend itself to review in a formal committee structure and it is suggested a working group is established which may read and consider the report at its leisure and then meet and if necessary call upon officer support as required. The recommendations of the working group may then be formally reported back and debated by the

Committee who may chose to make recommendations to full council for immediate changes to the Constitution or to the joint constitutional sub-committee for incorporation into the review.

4.0 Additional budgetary implications

4.1 None

5.0 Background and corporate strategy and directorate business plan(s)

5.1 This aligns with the constitutional review.

6.0 Options and reasons for recommending relevant option

6.1 Option 1: Do Nothing. This option is the simplest and requires no effort and little resource. However, it means the Standards regime will remain static, and may not be able to meet new challenges.

6.2 Option 2: This will provide an opportunity for members to consider and adopt relevant and pertinent recommendations that members feel will benefit the functioning of this Council and residents.

7.0 Resource implications

7.1 The working group will require Democratic Services and Legal Officer support. To allow for effective planning of resource the working group should be time limited.

8.0 Legal implications

The will depend on the recommendation made.

9.0 Significant risks

The do-nothing option risks stagnation, an early review will evidence a commitment to high standards in public life. Ensuring the Council's standards regime is kept up to date also assists in educating members and reducing the reputational risk to the authority.

10.0 Consultation

Any outcome will require full member engagement to be adopted.

11.0 Communication

Any recommended changes will be fully published to all members

Agreed and Signed off by:

Monitoring Officer: 02.10.19

S151 Officer: 02.10.19

Contact Officer: David Brown
Job Title: Head of Legal Services
Telephone: 023 9244 6524
E-Mail: David.Brown@easthants.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

NON-EXEMPT

EAST HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Standards Committee

10 October 2019

Report on the Standards Investigation Process & Code of Conduct
David Brown, Head of Legal Services

FOR DECISION

Portfolio: Governance & Legal, Cllr N Drew

Key Decision: No

1.0 Purpose of the paper

- 1.1 This paper is submitted to the Committee to consider the potential revision to the process of considering and investigating complaints.
- 1.2 The paper also seeks agreement from the Standards Committee to review the Code of Conduct within the constitutional review with policy input from this Committee.

2.0 Recommendations

- 2.1 The Monitoring Officer is requested to review the current process and, if required, present a revised process for consideration by the committee.
- 2.2 The Monitoring Officer is requested to review the current Code of Conduct and, if required, present a revised Code for consideration by the committee and further inclusion into the constitutional review.

3.0 Executive summary

- 3.1 This council has a historic process which finds its roots in the pre-localism act 2011 legislation. The standards regime changed significantly but the requirement for a fair and transparent process still exists.
- 3.2 Along with the other wider changes the underlying process should be reviewed to consider both a streamlined and transparent process.
- 3.3 The Localism Act S.28 requires the local authority to maintain a code of conduct. The code of conduct must make provision for the registration and disclosure of pecuniary interests (which are defined by regulation) and attract a criminal sanction. The section also requires a register for 'other interests' as specified by S.28 (2). 'Other interests' do not have a statutory definition. It seems the policy rationale was to allow a local council to develop
1) a definition of 'other interests' best suited to that organisation and 2) to

allow the council to decide how best to resolve those potential conflicts of 'other interests'. This essentially is to allow a local balance to be struck between the knowledge and experience of local councillors who through experience and commitment to the community have specialist knowledge which may add value to the debate or decision and the perception of bias or infringement on any individual members ability to act in the public interest.

- 3.4 Our code of conduct only requires declarations of Pecuniary Interests, which is written into statute and are criminal offences. This means that when you have a clear 'other interest' which should be declared at the meeting there is no guidance on what you should then do, nor is there any guidance on it being included on any register. By looking at other codes they usually contain a definition of 'other interests' e.g. to external appointments, a controlling function of a club or society a committee member, trustee etc.
- 3.5 The codes often go on to set out the behaviour expected from the member when an 'other' interest is identified. This varies from requiring members to leave and not take part in debate or vote, (as for pecuniary interests), some allow participation on points of clarification via the chairman only but not to vote, others allow a statement in debate subject to the clear declaration. I have not seen any code that suggests the member takes part in the vote.
- 3.6 The only guidance from the EHDC constitution relates to quasi-judicial function such as planning) and indicate the test is 'Members should not participate if a reasonable member of the public who is neither complacent nor unduly sensitive or suspicious would in the circumstances conclude that there is a real possibility of bias by a Councillor'. In reality this is an objective reasonable bystander test, and the essential element is would a reasonable member of the public think there is a real possibility that the interest (whatever it is) may influence your understanding or perception of the public interest.
- 3.7 The lack of guidance has generated correspondence from members who are unsure of the boundaries and wish to ensure they are acting within the wording and spirit of the code and seeking clarity on how to act in any circumstances. EHDC code of conduct has been adopted by many parish councils and the ambiguity creates a difficulty for parish council clerks and councillors, who seek guidance from EHDC, which has a consequential impact on the use of EHDC resources in advising and considering matters at Parish level.

4.0 Additional budgetary implications

4.1 None

5.0 Background and corporate strategy and directorate business plan(s)

5.1 This aligns with the constitutional review.

6.0 Options and reasons for recommending relevant option

- 6.1 Option 1: Do Nothing. This option is the simplest, but it does not address members enquiries or the resource input required at parish level.
- 6.2 Option 2: This will provide an opportunity for members to consider and adopt an approach they believe best fits the needs of this Council

7.0 Resource implications

- 7.1 This will require time commitment from the Monitoring Officer and Democratic Services.

8.0 Legal implications

The will depend on the recommendation made.

9.0 Significant risks

The do-nothing option risks stagnation, an early review will evidence a commitment to high standards in public life. Ensuring the Council's standards regime is kept up to date also assists in educating members and reducing the resource impact and reputational risk to the authority.

10.0 Consultation

Any outcome will require full member engagement to be adopted.

11.0 Communication

Any recommended changes will be fully published to all members

Agreed and Signed off by:

Monitoring Officer: 01.10.19

S151 Officer: 02.10.19

Contact Officer: David Brown
Job Title: Head of Legal Services
Telephone: 023 9244 6524
E-Mail: David.Brown@easthants.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank